

Grade 6 Science, Unit 3

Ecosystem Dynamics, Functioning, and Resilience

Overview

Unit abstract

In this unit of study, students study patterns of interactions among organisms within an ecosystem. They consider biotic and abiotic factors in an ecosystem and the effects these factors have on a population. They construct explanations for the interactions in ecosystems and the scientific, economic, political, and social justifications used in making decisions about maintaining biodiversity in ecosystems. The crosscutting concept of stability and change supports understanding across this topic. This topic also contains a science and engineering practice.

The focus in this unit is on a two-stage process of evaluating different ideas that have been proposed using a systematic method, such as a tradeoff matrix, to determine which solutions are most promising and testing different solutions, and then combining the best ideas into a new solution that may be better than any of the preliminary ideas.

Essential questions

- How do ecosystems change and function over time?
- How do humans affect the biodiversity of an ecosystem?
- What are possible solutions to problems created by the natural world and the material world?

Written Curriculum

Next Generation Science Standards

MS. Matter and Energy in Organisms and Ecosystems		
Students who demonstrate understanding can:		
MS-LS2-4. Construct an argument supported by empirical evidence that changes to physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on recognizing patterns in data and making warranted inferences about changes in populations, and on evaluating empirical evidence supporting arguments about changes to ecosystems.]		
The performance expectations above were developed using the following elements from the NRC document <i>A Framework for K-12 Science Education</i> :		
<p>Science and Engineering Practices Engaging in Argument from Evidence</p> <p>Engaging in argument from evidence in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an oral and written argument supported by empirical evidence and scientific reasoning to support or refute an explanation or a model for a phenomenon or a solution to a problem. (MS-LS2-4) <p>-----</p> <p>Connections to Nature of Science</p> <p>Scientific Knowledge is Based on Empirical Evidence</p> <p>Science disciplines share common rules of obtaining and evaluating empirical evidence. (MS-LS2-4)</p>	<p>Disciplinary Core Ideas LS2.C: Ecosystem Dynamics, Functioning, and Resilience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecosystems are dynamic in nature; their characteristics can vary over time. Disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations. (MS-LS2-4) 	<p>Crosscutting Concepts Stability and Change</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part. (MS-LS2-4)
<i>Connections to other DCIs in this grade-band:</i> MS.LS4.C (MS-LS2-4); MS.LS4.D (MS-LS2-4); MS.ESS2.A (MS-LS2-4); MS.ESS3.A (MS-LS2-4); MS.ESS3.C (MS-LS2-4)		
<i>Articulation across grade-bands:</i> 3.LS2.C (MS-LS2-4); 3.LS4.D (MS-LS2-4); HS.LS2.C (MS-LS2-4); HS.LS4.C (MS-LS2-4); HS.LS4.D (MS-LS2-4); HS.ESS2.E (MS-LS2-4); HS.ESS3.B (MS-LS2-4); HS.ESS3.C (MS-LS2-4)		
<i>Common Core State Standards Connections:</i>		
<i>ELA/Literacy –</i>		
RST.6-8.1	Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts. (MS-LS2-4)	
RI.8.8	Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, assessing whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims. (MS-LS2-4)	
WHST.6-8.1	Write arguments to support claims with clear reasons and relevant evidence. (MS-LS2-4)	
WHST.6-8.9	Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. (MS-LS2-4)	

Bristol–Warren, Central Falls, Cranston, Segue Institute for Learning, Tiverton, and Woonsocket, with process support from The Charles A. Dana Center at the University of Texas at Austin

MS. Interdependent Relationships in Ecosystems		
Students who demonstrate understanding can:		
MS-LS2-5. Evaluate competing design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. * [Clarification Statement: Examples of ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion. Examples of design solution constraints could include scientific, economic, and social considerations.]		
The performance expectations above were developed using the following elements from the NRC document <i>A Framework for K-12 Science Education</i> :		
Science and Engineering Practices	Disciplinary Core Ideas	Crosscutting Concepts
<p>Engaging in Argument from Evidence</p> <p>Engaging in argument from evidence in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria. (MS-LS2-5) 	<p>LS2.C: Ecosystem Dynamics, Functioning, and Resilience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity describes the variety of species found in Earth’s terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems. The completeness or integrity of an ecosystem’s biodiversity is often used as a measure of its health. (MS-LS2-5) <p>LS4.D: Biodiversity and Humans</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes in biodiversity can influence humans’ resources, such as food, energy, and medicines, as well as ecosystem services that humans rely on—for example, water purification and recycling. (<i>secondary to MS-LS2-5</i>) <p>ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem. (<i>secondary to MS-LS2-5</i>) 	<p>Stability and Change</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part. (MS-LS2-5) <p>-----</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Connections to Engineering, Technology, and Applications of Science</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Influence of Science, Engineering, and Technology on Society and the Natural World</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of technologies and any limitations on their use are driven by individual or societal needs, desires, and values; by the findings of scientific research; and by differences in such factors as climate, natural resources, and economic conditions. Thus technology use varies from region to region and over time. (MS-LS2-5) <p>-----</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Connections to Nature of Science</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Science Addresses Questions About the Natural and Material World</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scientific knowledge can describe the consequences of actions but does not necessarily prescribe the decisions that society takes. (MS-LS2-5)
<i>Connections to other DCIs in this grade-band: MS.ESS3.C (MS-LS2-5)</i>		
<i>Articulation across grade-band: HS.LS2.A (MS-LS2-5); HS.LS2.C (MS-LS2-5); HS.LS4.D (MS-LS2-5); HS.ESS3.A (MS-LS2-5); HS.ESS3.C (MS-LS2-5); HS.ESS3.D (MS-LS2-5)</i>		
<i>Common Core State Standards Connections:</i>		
<i>ELA/Literacy –</i>		
RST.6-8.8	Distinguish among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation in a text. (MS-LS2-5)	
RI.8.8	Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, assessing whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims. (MS-LS2-5)	
<i>Mathematics –</i>		
MP.4	Model with mathematics. (MS-LS2-5)	
6.RP.A.3	Use ratio and rate reasoning to solve real-world and mathematical problems. (MS-LS2-5)	

Bristol–Warren, Central Falls, Cranston, Segue Institute for Learning, Tiverton, and Woonsocket, with process support from The Charles A. Dana Center at the University of Texas at Austin

MS. Engineering Design		
Students who demonstrate understanding can:		
MS-ETS1-1. Define the criteria and constraints of a design problem with sufficient precision to ensure a successful solution, taking into account relevant scientific principles and potential impacts on people and the natural environment that may limit possible solutions.		
The performance expectations above were developed using the following elements from the NRC document <i>A Framework for K-12 Science Education</i> :		
Science and Engineering Practices	Disciplinary Core Ideas	Crosscutting Concepts
<p>Asking Questions and Defining Problems</p> <p>Asking questions and defining problems in grades 6–8 builds on grades K–5 experiences and progresses to specifying relationships between variables, and clarifying arguments and models.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Define a design problem that can be solved through the development of an object, tool, process or system and includes multiple criteria and constraints, including scientific knowledge that may limit possible solutions. (MS-ETS1-1) 	<p>ETS1.A: Defining and Delimiting Engineering Problems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The more precisely a design task's criteria and constraints can be defined, the more likely it is that the designed solution will be successful. Specification of constraints includes consideration of scientific principles and other relevant knowledge that are likely to limit possible solutions. (MS-ETS1-1) 	<p>Influence of Science, Engineering, and Technology on Society and the Natural World</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All human activity draws on natural resources and has both short and long-term consequences, positive as well as negative, for the health of people and the natural environment. (MS-ETS1-1) The uses of technologies and limitations on their use are driven by individual or societal needs, desires, and values; by the findings of scientific research; and by differences in such factors as climate, natural resources, and economic conditions. (MS-ETS1-1)
<p><i>Connections to MS-ETS1.A: Defining and Delimiting Engineering Problems include: Connections to MS-ETS1.A: Defining and Delimiting Engineering Problems include:</i></p> <p>Physical Science: MS-PS3-3</p> <p><i>Connections to MS-ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions Problems include:</i></p> <p>Physical Science: MS-PS1-6, MS-PS3-3, Life Science: MS-LS2-5</p> <p><i>Connections to MS-ETS1.C: Optimizing the Design Solution include:</i></p> <p>Physical Science: MS-PS1-6</p>		
<p><i>Articulation of DCIs across grade-bands: 3-5.ETS1.A (MS-ETS1-1); 3-5.ETS1.C (MS-ETS1-1); HS.ETS1.A (MS-ETS1-1); HS.ETS1.B (MS-ETS1-1)</i></p>		
<p><i>Common Core State Standards Connections:</i></p> <p><i>ELA/Literacy –</i></p> <p>RST.6-8.1 Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts. (MS-ETS1-1)</p> <p>WHST.6-8.8 Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources; assess the credibility of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and providing basic bibliographic information for sources. (MS-ETS1-1)</p> <p><i>Mathematics –</i></p> <p>MP.2 Reason abstractly and quantitatively. (MS-ETS1-1)</p> <p>7.EE.3 Solve multi-step real-life and mathematical problems posed with positive and negative rational numbers in any form (whole numbers, fractions, and decimals), using tools strategically. Apply properties of operations to calculate with numbers in any form; convert between forms as appropriate; and assess the reasonableness of answers using mental computation and estimation strategies. (MS-ETS1-1)</p>		

MS. Engineering Design		
Students who demonstrate understanding can:		
MS-ETS1-3. Analyze data from tests to determine similarities and differences among several design solutions to identify the best characteristics of each that can be combined into a new solution to better meet the criteria for success.		
The performance expectations above were developed using the following elements from the NRC document <i>A Framework for K-12 Science Education</i> :		
Science and Engineering Practices	Disciplinary Core Ideas	Crosscutting Concepts
Analyzing and Interpreting Data Analyzing data in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to extending quantitative analysis to investigations, distinguishing between correlation and causation, and basic statistical techniques of data and error analysis. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyze and interpret data to determine similarities and differences in findings. (MS-ETS1-3) 	ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem. (MS-ETS1-3) Sometimes parts of different solutions can be combined to create a solution that is better than any of its predecessors. (MS-ETS1-3) ETS1.C: Optimizing the Design Solution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although one design may not perform the best across all tests, identifying the characteristics of the design that performed the best in each test can provide useful information for the redesign process—that is, some of those characteristics may be incorporated into the new design. (MS-ETS1-3) 	N/A
<i>Connections to MS-ETS1.A: Defining and Delimiting Engineering Problems include:</i> Physical Science: MS-PS3-3 <i>Connections to MS-ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions Problems include:</i> Physical Science: MS-PS1-6, MS-PS3-3, Life Science: MS-LS2-5 <i>Connections to MS-ETS1.C: Optimizing the Design Solution include:</i> Physical Science: MS-PS1-6		
<i>Articulation of DCIs across grade-bands: 3-5.ETS1.A (MS-ETS1-3); 3-5.ETS1.B (MS-ETS1-3); 3-5.ETS1.C (MS-ETS1-3); HS.ETS1.B (MS-ETS1-3); HS.ETS1.C (MS-ETS1-3)</i>		
<i>Common Core State Standards Connections:</i> ELA/Literacy – RST.6-8.1 Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts. (MS-ETS1-3) RST.6-8.7 Integrate quantitative or technical information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table). (MS-ETS1-3) RST.6-8.9 Compare and contrast the information gained from experiments, simulations, video, or multimedia sources with that gained from reading a text on the same topic. (MS-ETS1-3) Mathematics – MP.2 Reason abstractly and quantitatively. (MS-ETS1-3) 7.EE.3 Solve multi-step real-life and mathematical problems posed with positive and negative rational numbers in any form (whole numbers, fractions, and decimals), using tools strategically. Apply properties of operations to calculate with numbers in any form; convert between forms as appropriate; and assess the reasonableness of answers using mental computation and estimation strategies. (MS-ETS1-3)		

Bristol–Warren, Central Falls, Cranston, Segue Institute for Learning, Tiverton, and Woonsocket, with process support from The Charles A. Dana Center at the University of Texas at Austin

Clarifying the standards

Prior learning

The following disciplinary core ideas are prior learning for the concepts in this unit of study. By the end of Grade 5, students know that:

- When the environment changes in ways that affect a place’s physical characteristics, temperature, or available resources, some organisms survive and reproduce, some move to new locations, some move into the transformed environment, and some die.
- Populations of organisms live in a variety of habitats. Changes in those habitats affect the organisms living there.
- Research on a problem should be carried out before work to design a solution begins. Testing a solution involves investigating how well it performs under a range of likely conditions.
- At whatever stage, communicating with peers about proposed solutions is an important part of the design process, and shared ideas can lead to improved designs.
- Tests are often designed to identify failure points or difficulties, which suggest the elements of the design that need to be improved.
- Different solutions need to be tested in order to determine which of them best solves the problem, given the criteria and the constraints.

Progression of current learning

Driving question 1

How do changes to physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations?

Concepts

- Ecosystems are dynamic in nature.
- The characteristics of ecosystems can vary over time.
- Disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all the ecosystem’s populations.
- Small changes in one part of an ecosystem might cause large changes in another part.
- Patterns in data about ecosystems can be recognized and used to make warranted inferences about changes in populations.
- Evaluating empirical evidence can be used to support arguments about changes to ecosystems.

Practices

- Construct an argument to support or refute an explanation for the changes to populations in an ecosystem caused by disruptions to a physical or biological component of that ecosystem. Empirical evidence and scientific reasoning must support the argument.
- Use scientific rules for obtaining and evaluating empirical evidence.
- Recognize patterns in data and make warranted inferences about changes in populations.
- Evaluate empirical evidence supporting arguments about changes to ecosystems.

Driving question 2

What are some solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystems services?

Concepts

- Biodiversity describes the variety of species found in Earth’s terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems.
- The completeness, or integrity, of an ecosystem’s biodiversity is often used as a measure of its health.
- Changes in biodiversity can influence humans’ resources, such as food, energy, and medicines.
- Changes in biodiversity can influence ecosystem services that humans rely on.
- There are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem.
- A solution needs to be tested and then modified on the basis of the test results, in order to improve it.
- Models of all kinds are important for testing solutions.
- The iterative process of testing the most promising solutions and modifying what is proposed on the basis of the test results leads to greater refinement and ultimately to an optimal solution.
- Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part.
- Scientific knowledge can describe the consequences of actions but does not necessarily prescribe the decisions that society takes.

Practices

- Construct a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for solutions about the natural and designed world(s).
- Develop a model to generate data to test ideas about designed systems, including those representing inputs and outputs.
- Create design criteria for design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services.
- Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria.

Integration of content, practices, and crosscutting concepts

At the beginning of this unit of study, students will begin to collect empirical evidence that will be used to argue that physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations. Students will evaluate existing solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services to determine which solutions are most promising. As part of their evaluation, students will develop a probability and use it to determine the probability that designed systems, including those representing inputs and outputs, will maintain biodiversity and ecosystem services. They will develop mathematical model(s) to generate data to test the designed systems

and compare probabilities from the models to observe frequencies. If the agreement is not good, they will explain possible sources of the discrepancy.

Distinguish among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation

During this process, students will distinguish among facts reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation while reading text about maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. Examples of ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion.

After determining that ecosystems are dynamic in nature, students may construct an argument to support an explanation for how shifts (large and/or small) in populations are caused by change to physical or biological components in ecosystems (e.g., gas explosions, tornados, mining, oil spills, clear cutting, hurricanes, volcanoes, etc.).

Students will study the variety of species found in terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems and use the data they gather to make decisions about the health of the ecosystem. Students may compare, through observations and data analysis, the biodiversity before and after events affecting a specific area—for examples, the five villages in Situate, RI, that were lost due to the creation of the reservoir; the underground coal fires in Centralia, PA, that caused people to abandon the town; the volcanic eruption in Mt. St. Helen's, WA; the nuclear reactor meltdown in Chernobyl, Ukraine.

Students should recognize patterns in data about changes to components in ecosystems and make inferences about how these changes contribute to changes in the biodiversity of populations. Students should investigate and design investigations to test their ideas and develop possible solutions to problems caused when changes in the biodiversity of an ecosystem affect resources (food, energy, and medicine) as well as ecosystem services (water purification, nutrient recycling, soil erosion prevention) available to humans. Students can then construct arguments using evidence to support recognized patterns of change in factors such as global temperatures and their effect on populations and the environment. As part of their argument, students need to note how small changes in one part of an ecosystem might cause large changes in another part. While collecting evidence for their arguments about maintaining biodiversity, students will trace and evaluate specific claims in a text, distinguishing claims that are supported by reasons and evidence from claims that are not. Students will evaluate the argument and claims in text, assess whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.

As a culmination of this unit of study, students will take the evidence they have collected and their understanding of how changes in the biodiversity of populations can impact ecosystem services and use that evidence and understanding to evaluate competing design solutions. Students will include multimedia components and visual displays as part of their argument about competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria to clarify evidence used in their arguments. The multimedia component and visual displays should clarify claims and findings and emphasize salient points in their argument.

Students will use a systematic process for evaluating their design solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints. Students may determine the systematic process they will use, or the teacher can determine a process for students to use to evaluate ecosystem services. Any process used should include mathematical models that generates data for the iterative testing of competing design solutions involving a proposed object, tool, or process maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services and quantitative reasoning (with amounts, numbers, sizes) and abstract reasoning (with variables). Ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion. For this unit of study, design solution constraints could include scientific, economic, and social considerations. After determining the process for evaluating the design solutions and establishing the criteria and constraints, students will compare competing design solutions to determine the optimal solution.

Integration of engineering

Students may use models to investigate given solutions for a problem (e.g., damming of a river, mining of land, overfarming, etc.) and use data provided to obtain an optimal solution. More detail is included in the narrative above.

Integration of DCI from prior units within this grade level

- Use arguments based on empirical evidence and scientific reasoning to support an explanation for how characteristic animal behaviors and specialized plant structures affect the probability of successful reproduction of animals and plants, respectively.
- Construct a scientific explanation based on evidence for how environmental and genetic factors influence the growth of organisms.
- Analyze and interpret data to provide evidence for the effects of resource availability on organisms and populations of organisms in an ecosystem.
- Construct an explanation that predicts patterns of interactions among organisms across multiple ecosystems.

Integration of mathematics and English language arts/literacy

Mathematics

Model design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services with mathematics.

Use ratio and rate reasoning to evaluate competing design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Develop a model that generates data for the iterative testing of competing design solutions involving a proposed object, tool, or process that maintains biodiversity and ecosystem services, reasoning quantitatively (with amounts, numbers, sizes) and abstractly (with variables).

Develop a probability and use it to find the probability *that designed systems, including those representing inputs and outputs, will maintain biodiversity and ecosystem services*. Compare probabilities from the model to observe frequencies. If the agreement is not good, explain possible sources of the discrepancy.

English language arts/literacy

Distinguish among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation when reading text about maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. Examples of ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion.

Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text *about maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services*, distinguishing claims that are supported by reasons and evidence from claims that are not. Trace and evaluate the arguments about specific claims in a text and assess whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.

Include multimedia components and visual displays *as part of an argument about competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria* to clarify information. Include multimedia components and visual displays. The multimedia component and visual displays should clarify claims and findings and emphasize salient points in the presentation.

Future learning

- If a biological or physical disturbance to an ecosystem occurs, including one induced by human activity, the ecosystem may return to its more or less original state or become a very different ecosystem, depending on the complex set of interactions within the ecosystem.
- Biodiversity is increased by the formation of new species and reduced by extinction. Humans depend on biodiversity but also have adverse impacts on it. Sustaining biodiversity is essential to supporting life on earth.

Number of Instructional Days

Recommended number of instructional days: 25 (1 day = approximately 50 minutes)

Note—The recommended number of days is an estimate based on the information available at this time. Teachers are strongly encouraged to review the entire unit of study carefully and collaboratively to determine whether adjustments to this estimate need to be made.